



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/733,348

12/08/2000

Eric Schneider

2277

24226

7590

08/25/2004

ERIC SCHNEIDER

13944 CEDAR ROAD

258

UNIVERSITY HEIGHTS, OH 44118

EXAMINER

MAURO JR, THOMAS J

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2143

DATE MAILED: 08/25/2004

4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/733,348

Applicant(s)

SCHNEIDER, ERIC

Examiner

Thomas J. Mauro Jr.

Art Unit

2143

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Thomas J. Mauro Jr. - USPTO.

(3) _____.

(2) Eric Schneider.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 18 August 2004.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: Claims 17-18 and proposed new claim 21.

Identification of prior art discussed: Tsuei (U.S. 6,654,779) and Uhl et al. (U.S. 6,292,709).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant wanted to discuss claims 17 and 18, along with newly proposed claim 21, which incorporates claims 17-18. Agreement was reached that current art rejection would be overcome by newly proposed claim 21. Objections made against a figure in the drawings on the last office action was discussed. Additionally, other features and a background of the invention were discussed providing general information.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required